UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,017	11/22/2000	Winnie C. Durbin	GEMS8081.023	5745
27061 7590 01/10/2011 ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (GEMS)		EXAMINER		
136 S WISCONSIN ST PORT WASHINGTON, WI 53074			JOHNS, CHRISTOPHER C	
PORT WASHINGTON, WI 330/4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@zpspatents.com rlt@zpspatents.com klb@zpspatents.com

The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTHO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION CONTROL OF THIS COMMUNICATION CONTROL OF THE CONTROL	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication.
The MAILING DATE of this communication aperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.	Christopher C. Johns opears on the cover sheet with the LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the discount of the country of the coun	3621 correspondence address H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication.
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.	Depears on the cover sheet with the LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	correspondence address I(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication.
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTHO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION CONTROL OF THIS COMMUNICATION CONTROL OF THE CONTROL	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication.
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication.
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		ed, may reduce any
tatus		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 (2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
isposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-23 and 25-28 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-23 and 25-28 are subject to rest	awn from consideration.	nt.
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 10.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	Date

Art Unit: 3621 Paper Number 20110104

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 11 October 2010 has been entered.

Acknowledgements

- 2. This Office Action is given Paper No. 20100104for reference purposes only.
- 3. This Office Action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination, filed by Applicants on 11 October 2010 ("October 2010 RCE"). The October 2010 RCE contained, inter alia, Claim Amendments ("October 2010 Amendments") and Remarks/Arguments ("October 2010 Remarks").
- 4. Claims 1, 4-23, and 25-28 are pending.

Restriction

- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 4-9, and 27, drawn to a method for enabling a software option at a device located remotely from the workstation that is requesting the enablement, classified in class 705, subclass 56.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,017 Page 3
Art Unit: 3621 Paper Number 20110104

II. Claims 10-23, 25, 26, and 28, drawn to a system for enabling a software option at a local device, classified in class 726, subclass 17.

- 6. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 7. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the invention in group I is practiced by a materially different system from group II; group I's method recites that the machine which requests the activation be at a "location remote from" the machine that receives the activation, while group II is directed to a system where the machine requesting activation be located at the same "subscribing station" as the equipment that is to be activated.
- 8. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and/or examination burden if restriction were not required because at least the following reasons apply:
 - a. the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification group I is classified in class 705, while group II is classified in class 726;
 - b. the inventions require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes /subclasses or electronic resources, and employing different search strategies or search queries).
- 9. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be

Art Unit: 3621 Paper Number 20110104

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 10. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, Applicants must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.
- 11. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 12. A telephone call was made to Kevin Rosin (#55584) on 4 January 2011 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 13. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3621 Paper Number 20110104

14. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicants elect claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

15. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 3621 Paper Number 20110104

Conclusion

- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Christopher C. Johns whose telephone number is (571)270-3462. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday through Friday from 9am to 5pm. The Examiner's direct fax line is (571) 270-4462.
- 17. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer, can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Christopher C Johns/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621